

# 2013 Infrastructure Report Card

# Evaluation of Right of Way and Traffic Devices



#### **Evaluation Strategy**

Grading Shall be the Opinion of the Consultant Based on the Following Approach:

- ➤ Meet with City Staff (Kickoff Meeting)
- Gather Required Information (i.e. Data, Reports, etc)
- Visit Various Facility Sites
- Review Provided Information
- > Evaluate Current Infrastructure Condition and Determine "Draft" Grade
- ➤ Discuss Analysis of Data and Obtain Written and Verbal Elaborations on Current Infrastructure Conditions from City Staff (that Amend or Expand Written Report Data)
- ➤ Re-Evaluate Current Infrastructure Conditions and Draft Grade (Based on Increased Understanding of Infrastructure Conditions from City Staff)
- Prepare Draft Report Summarizing the Infrastructure Conditions and Provide a Revised Grade (if Different from "Draft" Grade Above)
- ➤ Meet with City to Review Draft Report & Obtain Comments from City Staff
- > Finalize Report Based on Comments from City and Provide Final Grade

### **Kickoff Meeting with City Staff**

- > June 12, 2013
- > Attendees:

Siamak Motahari

Bindu Vaish

**Nicole Jules** 

Ron Dragoo

Andy Winje

Nadia Carasco

➤ Nicole Jules Provided Information on The Traffic & Right of Way System Following the Kickoff Meeting.

### **Data/Information Evaluated**

- > 2013 PCI Street Map
- ➤ 2013 PCI Report Spreadsheets
- ➤ 2009 Pavement Management System Report
- ➤ 2008 Traffic Calming Program
- ➤ 2011-2012 Traffic Analysis Report
- Capital Improvements Projects List (unfunded projects)
- > Conceptual Bikeways Plan

#### **Site Visits**

➤ June 20th

Drove through various streets throughout City.

#### Photos from Site Visits (June 20, 2013 Site Visit:)

#### **Various Streets**









## **Review of Provided Data/Reports**

#### ➤ 2009 Pavement Management System Report

Determined: Overall aspects of traffic and right of way system, including pavement and sidewalk quantities, and the PCI and SI indexes of arterials and residential streets
41 miles of streets\* (105 mi of local streets; 36 mi of arterials)

23.5 million square feet of AC\*\*; 3.3 million square feet of concrete sidewalk\*\*; 627,435 square feet of medians\*\*

### 2013 PCI Report Spreadsheets & Street Map

Determined: Current condition of streets in the City

### ➤ 2008 Traffic Calming Program

Determined: Different Levels of Traffic Calming tools, their use in the City, and associated costs

#### ➤ 2011-2012 Traffic Analysis Report

Determined: Traffic flows along PVD South, connecting streets, and the impact of future development

Conceptual Bikeways Plan Determined: Bikeway Classes throughout City, location of bikeways, and associated costs

#### **Preliminary Evaluation**

#### **Evaluation Criteria:**

- > Capacity of Infrastructure
  As it relates to traffic flows
- Structural Condition of Infrastructure As it relates to drive-ability/ride ability, and operation & maintenance
- Environmental Sustainability of Infrastructure
  As it relates to safety, promoting recreation, an aesthetic environment and preserving nature

### **Preliminary Evaluation (cont.)**

"Draft" Grades

Capacity of Infrastructure: A (92%)

Structural Condition of Infrastructure: B (86%)

Environmental Sustainability of Infrastructure: A (96%)

Overall Grade: A (92%)

### **Progress Meeting**

July 30, 2013 and August 8, 2013

Progress Meeting were held on above dates.

Data Evaluation and "Draft" Grades were discussed with City staff. Current condition of right of way/traffic system was discussed. Data presented in 2009 PMS Update was a little behind/outdated. Some portions of the streets had a lower PCI/SI index. A 2013 Update (Spreadsheets and Map) was provided for further evaluation. Funding concerns were also discussed.

# Re-Evaluation of ROW/Traffic System, Report Preparation, and Final Grade Determination

Final Grade of ROW/Traffic System based on review of existing data, site visits, and discussions with City staff, is shown on spreadsheet breakdown below:

Evaluation of Right of Way and Traffic Devices			
Criteria 1: The capacity of infrastructure as it relates to traffic flows			
No.	Subcriteria	<u>Score</u>	Comments
1	Overall accessibility/drive-ability	9	Good accessibility throughout City
2	throughout City Width/Number of Lanes/Traffic Concerns	9	Traffic concerns only at certain
			times/days
3	Alternative Access Routes storm drain	8	Not many feasible alternate routes
4	system Accessibility for cyclists and pedestrians	10	due to landscape Multiple Class I, II, III bikeways
	7.000000000000000000000000000000000000	10	available
5	Cost of current or pending capacity	9	No widening projects anticipated
	improvements  Average Score	9.0	"A" Grade
Criteria 2: The structural condition of infrastructure as it relates to drive-ability/ride			
ability, and operation & maintenance			
No.	<u>Subcriteria</u>	<u>Score</u>	<u>Comments</u>
1	Overall structural condition of roadways	9	Overall great condition of roadways
2	Quantity of structural condition problems	8	Small amount of structural needs
3	Severity of structural/condition problems	8	Mostly general cracking/deterioration
4	Safety concerns of	9	No major potholes/safety hazards
5	structural/maintenance problems Cost of structural/maintenance problems	8	Moderate to major improvements
ŭ	Cost of outdoor an maintenance problems	Ü	anticipated
	Average Score	8.4	"B" Grade
Criteria 3: The environmental sustainability of infrastructure as it relates to safety,			
promoting recreation, an aesthetic environment and preserving nature			
<u>No.</u>		<u>Score</u>	Comments
1	Overall environmental conditions/utilization	10	Overall excellent environmental conditions
2	View/Scenery of local streets and	10	Excellent view/scenery
_	arterials	-	•
3	Landscaping improvements along	10	Great landscape
4	roadways Debris/trash/graffiti/etc on or adjacent to	9	planning/maintenance Little to no debris/trash/graffiti
•	roadways	J	noticed
5	Cost of cleaning/maintenance	9	City routinely cleans and inspects
	Average Score	9.6	"A" Grade
	Total Average Score	9.0	"A" Grade

Final Grade: "A"